

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/056,969 | 01/24/2002 | Gerard J. Carlson | 10016161-1 | 3888 |
| 75 | 7590 12/11/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | JOERGER, KAITLIN S | |
| P.O. Box 27240 | perty Administration 00 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| Fort Collins, Co | O 80527-2400 | | 3653 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/11/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | | | $\leq M$ | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| . Office Action Summany | 10/056,969 | CARLSON, GER | ARD J. | | | |
| · Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kaitlin S Joerger | 3653 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence ac | aaress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered time of THS from the mailing date of this CHANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. communication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | 0.440(-) (-) (6) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) | | | | | | |
| since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. | st sentence of the specific | eation or in an Application | Data Sheet. | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 | | nformal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |
| The morniagon biscossie statements, (1 10-1445) 1 aper 140(5) 2 | . 0, 🗀 04101. | • | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/056,969

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the applicant's failure to disclose their invention in a manner that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention. The applicant claims a self-propelled input/output bin, but the specification does not include any schematic or detailed description of the self-propelled bin. There is no mention of any structural features, such as wheels, chains, or rails, which would be the drive mechanism for the self-propelled bin. Nor is there any mention of how the bin moves on its own. Therefore the specification does not meet the requirement of providing information for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the invention.

The specification also does not provide specific disclosure of the scanning/reading means disposed on the self-propelled bin that seems to be the novel feature of the applicant's invention. It is unclear how this scanning/reading process is performed and what hardware pieces are needed for such an invention. Therefore the specification, once again, fails to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,969 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

Response to Arguments

Regarding the applicant's argument that a careful reading of the specification of the present invention, particularly pages 1, 2, 4, and 5, provides sufficient understanding to one skilled in the art of mobile input/output bins and the scanning/reading means claimed in the present invention, the examiner feels that this argument is in error. Yes, it is true that the on pages 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the present specification the applicant made reference to several other patents that have been previously granted on the subject of mobile input/output bins and scanning/reading means. However, as is clearly stated in Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, of which the examiner is sure the applicant is familiar with, in section 608.01(p),

Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re de Seversky*, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973).

The applicant is advised to review the entire section 608.01(p) for the proper procedure for incorporating published patents by reference.

Since the claims of the application include subject matter that was not properly incorporated into the specification the examiner is maintaining the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Regarding the applicant's arguments over the applied art, the examiner agrees that the applied art does not read on the applicant's claimed invention. Therefore, the applicant is withdrawing the rejections to the claims that are based on prior art.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/056,969

Art Unit: 3653

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S Joerger whose telephone number is 703-305-8503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

O December 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600